We analyse axisymmetric gravity currents of power-law fluids theoretically and experimentally. We use aqueous suspensions of Xanthan gum in laboratory experiments of constant-volume and constant-flux release to resolve the rheological parameters of the fluid, which we then compare with measurements made using a strain-controlled rheometer. We find that the constant-volume release of highly shear-thinning fluids involves an early-time evolution dominated by inertia, and non-convex free surfaces that make the application of similarity solutions of the late-time viscously dominated evolution inefficient at resolving material properties. In contrast, constant-flux release of the same fluids can be viscously dominated and consistent with the self-similar solution from early in the evolution, which makes it a more useful method for measuring rheological parameters.
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1. Introduction

The propagation of axisymmetric, viscous gravity currents has been studied extensively for different configurations and fluid rheologies, and can be used, among other applications, to model geophysical flows on laboratory scales and to measure the rheological parameters of fluids.

Early studies of gravity currents of power-law fluids analysed the perfect-plastic limit (Nye 1952). The asymptotic evolution of Newtonian gravity currents was studied by Huppert (1982) using laboratory experiments and similarity solutions in which the position of the current front was found to be proportional to $t^{1/8}$ for a constant-volume release and to $t^{1/2}$ for a constant-flux release. The front evolution of a general power-law fluid having exponent $n$ has been found to be proportional to $t^{1/(5n+3)}$ for a constant-volume release (Halfar 1983; Gratton, Minotti & Mahajan 1999), and to $t^{(2n+2)/(5n+3)}$ for a constant-flux release (Gratton et al. 1999; Balmforth et al. 2000). Similarity solutions have also been studied for a mass source that is proportional to the thickness and inversely proportional to time (Bueler et al. 2005).
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Gravity currents are also being used to measure the rheological parameters of fluids. Devices such as the Bostwick and Adams consistometers provide quantitative measurements of rheological properties by finite-volume releases of fluid in a horizontal channel and axisymmetric geometries, respectively. The difficulty associated with the lateral friction at the sidewalls in channel geometry (Balmforth et al. 2007) is eliminated by the use of axisymmetric geometry (Piau & Debiane 2005). However, shear-thinning fluids in both geometries can undergo a slumping phase that is potentially dominated by inertia (Balmforth et al. 2007), followed by the viscous phase, which can be extremely slow for practical measurements. The presence of such a slumping phase may substantially reduce the efficacy of these systems as rheometers, yet so far this has not been assessed quantitatively.

In this study we present theoretical and experimental analysis of power-law fluids (zero yield stress) released with constant volume or constant flux in cylindrical geometry. We model an axisymmetric gravity current of low Reynolds number and derive similarity solutions for time-dependent volume release. We perform experiments, using highly shear-thinning suspensions, and demonstrate the dynamical differences that can arise between these two release mechanisms. In particular, we present completely new observations, with high temporal and spatial resolution, of the inertia-dominated regime in constant-volume experiments, and quantitatively evaluate the situations where inertia is important. For the constant-flux release we use our experimental results to predict the rheological parameters of the material used, and then compare our results to independent measurements made using standard rheometry techniques.

2. Theory

2.1. Mathematical model

Consider a fluid of viscosity $\mu$ and density $\rho$ that propagates as a thin layer over a flat and rigid surface into an inviscid fluid of density $\rho - \Delta \rho$. Assuming the flow is axisymmetric, the radial force balance and the local and global mass balances within the limits of lubrication theory are, respectively,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\mu}{\partial z} \right) = \rho g' \frac{\partial h}{\partial r},$$

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \int_0^h u \, dz \right) = 0,$$

$$2\pi \int_0^{r_N(t)} rh \, dr = Q t^\alpha,$$

where $r, z, t$ are the radial, vertical and time coordinates respectively, $u$ is the radial velocity of the viscous fluid and $h$ its thickness, $g' = (\Delta \rho/\rho)g$, $r_N$ is the position of the head of the current, and $Q t^\alpha$ is the total volume, where $\alpha = 0$ represents constant-volume release and $\alpha = 1$ represents constant-flux release (Huppert 1982). For a power-law fluid the effective dynamic viscosity is

$$\mu = \tilde{\mu} \left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right|^{1/n-1},$$

where $\tilde{\mu}$ is the consistency index and $n$ is the flow-law exponent, which determines if the fluid is shear-thinning ($n > 1$) or shear-thickening ($n < 1$). The boundary conditions
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at the fluid base and surface are

\[ \frac{\mu}{\partial z}(z = h) = 0, \quad u(z = 0) = 0, \]  

and at the head of the current \( r = r_N \),

\[ h = 0, \quad q \equiv \int_0^h u \, dz = h \dot{r}_N, \]  

where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to time, and the second condition can be derived by combining (2.1b) with the derivative of (2.1c) with respect to time, noting the source condition \( \lim_{r \to 0} (2\pi q r) = \alpha Q t^{\alpha - 1} \). Integrating (2.1a) and using (2.3a) gives

\[ u(z) = -2^{1-n} \left( \frac{\rho g'}{\tilde{\mu}} \right)^n \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{n-1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} + \frac{n}{\alpha - 1} n \right] \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{z}{h} \right)^{n+1} \right]. \]  

Integrating (2.4) over the fluid thickness and substituting the result into (2.1b) yields an ordinary differential equation for the current thickness:

\[ \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \frac{2^{1-n}}{n+2} \left( \frac{\rho g'}{\tilde{\mu}} \right)^n \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{r}{\partial r} \frac{n-1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} + \frac{n}{\alpha - 1} n \right] = 0. \]  

Equations (2.1c) and (2.5) admit a similarity solution

\[ h(r, t) = \xi_N^{(n+1)/(2n+1)} Q b^{-2^{[\alpha(n+1)] - 2]/(5n+3)} \psi(\chi) \]  

in terms of the variable

\[ \xi = rb^{-1} t^{\alpha(n+1)/(5n+3)}, \]  

where

\[ b = \left( \frac{2^{1-n}}{n+2} \right)^{1/(5n+3)} \left( \frac{\rho g'}{\tilde{\mu}} \right)^n \]  

and \( \xi_N = \xi(r = r_N(t)) \). The dimensional radius of the current head is therefore

\[ r_N = \xi_N b t^{\alpha(n+1)/(5n+3)}. \]  

These results are consistent with previous studies (Huppert 1982; Halfar 1983; Gratton et al. 1999; Balmforth et al. 2000). Substituting (2.6) into (2.5) and (2.1c), we find that \( \psi(\chi) \) satisfies the equations

\[ \left[ \chi \psi' | \psi'|^n - \psi^{n+2} \right]' + \frac{\alpha(2n + 1) + 1}{5n+3} \chi^2 \psi' - \frac{\alpha(n + 1) - 2}{5n+3} \chi \psi = 0, \]  

\[ \xi_N = \left[ 2\pi \int_0^1 \chi \psi(\chi) \, d\chi \right]^{-(2n+1)/(5n+3)} \]  

where the prime denotes \( d/d\chi \), and the boundary conditions (2.3b) become

\[ \psi = 0, \quad \psi' | \psi'|^{n-1} \psi^{n+2} = 0 \text{ at } \chi = 1. \]  

Solutions to (2.9) for different \( \alpha \) and \( n \) (figure 1) show that as \( n \) decreases the slope near the head of the current increases, and that the slope at both the axis of symmetry \( (\chi = 0) \) and the head of the current increase with \( \alpha \). Below we discuss in more detail the solutions for \( \alpha = 0 \) and for arbitrary \( \alpha > 0 \).
2.2. Constant-volume release ($\alpha = 0$)

In the case $\alpha = 0$ a closed-form solution exists for (2.9), given by

$$\psi = \left[ \frac{2n + 1}{n + 1} \left( \frac{1}{5n + 3} \right)^{1/n} \left( 1 - x^{(1+n)/n} \right) \right]^{n/(2n+1)},$$

(2.10)

where

$$\xi_N = \left[ \frac{2\pi n}{n + 1} \left( \frac{2n + 1}{n + 1} \right)^{n/(2n+1)} \left( \frac{1}{5n + 3} \right)^{1/(2n+1)} \times \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{3n + 1}{2n + 1} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{2n}{n + 1} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{3n + 1}{2n + 1} + \frac{2n}{n + 1} \right)} \right]^{-(2n+1)/(5n+3)},$$

(2.11)

as was previously found by Huppert (1982), and by Gratton et al. (1999) for the case $n = 1$. Note that the constant-volume solution (2.10), shown in figure 1(a) for $n = 1/5, 1, 5$, has zero slope at $\chi = 0$ for all values of $n$. This property is less apparent in the case $n > 1$ because the curvature near $\chi = 0$ behaves like $\psi''(\chi \sim 0) \sim -x^{(1-n)/n}$, which is singular for $n > 1$, leading to the apparent large
slope observed near $\chi = 0$.

2.3. Solution for arbitrary $\alpha$

We estimate the solution for (2.9) using the leading-order asymptotic expansion at $\chi = 1$, which gives

$$
\psi(\chi) = \left[ \frac{\alpha(2n + 1) + 1}{5n + 3} \left( \frac{2n + 1}{n} \right)^{n/(2n+1)} \right]^{1/(2n+1)} (1 - \chi)^{n/(2n+1)},
$$

(2.12)

and is consistent with Huppert (1982) for $n = 1$. We then use (2.12) as an initial guess in the computation of numerical solutions to (2.9) for arbitrary $\alpha$. A description of the numerical procedure we applied is given in the supplementary material available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2012.545. We tested the numerical solver against the analytical solution for $\alpha = 0$ given in § 2.2 (figure 1a), and against solutions for $n = 1$ and arbitrary $\alpha$ (Huppert 1982) (figure 1b,c), and find very good agreement.

The numerical results indicate that for shear-thickening fluids ($n < 1$) the pressure gradient of the current near the origin becomes singular for $\alpha > 0$, whereas for shear-thinning fluids it is regular (figure 1). The scaled front position of the current $\xi_N$ is monotonically decreasing with $\alpha$ for all $n$, and when $\alpha = 1$ it is nearly independent of $n$ (figure 1c).

3. Experiments

To validate the theoretical prediction of the front position as a function of time (equation (2.8)), we performed a set of experiments of axisymmetric gravity currents at constant-volume ($\alpha = 0$) and constant-flux ($\alpha = 1$) release. In addition we performed an independent measurement of the viscosity using a strain-controlled rheometer. In all experiments we used an aqueous suspension of Xanthan gum of 1% concentration by weight, which is known to be a shear-thinning material (e.g. Escudier et al. 2001).

3.1. Constant-volume release

The experimental setup for a constant volume release is shown in figure 2(a,b). The suspension (490 cm$^3$) was contained in a cylinder (64 mm inner diameter) with open ends that was initially in contact at its base with a flat aluminium surface. The cylinder was fixed to a pneumatic actuator, which when triggered moved at $\sim 70$ cm s$^{-1}$ in a vertical direction upward. The evolution of the discharged fluid was captured by a fast camera (2000 Hz) and the current thickness was measured along a radius by a laser sheet with a range finder (100 Hz). To enhance the laser reflection from the free surface, the Xanthan suspension was mixed with TiO$_2$ (0.25% in weight), which modifies the rheology slightly but insignificantly, as indicated in later experiments performed with constant flux in § 3.2.

The propagation of the head of the current $r_N$ (figure 3a), which was resolved from the thickness profiles (figure 3b), shows three dynamical regimes: (i) early-time evolution ($t < 0.35$ s) in which the front advances at 460 mm s$^{-1}$ on average from a radius of 32 mm when confined in the cylinder to 180 mm; (ii) intermediate-time evolution ($0.35 < t < 0.5$ s) in which the front retreats back about 1 mm; and (iii) late-time evolution ($t > 0.5$ s) in which the front advances at $10^{-4}$ mm s$^{-1}$ on average to a radius of $\sim 187$ mm. On time scales longer than $10^4$ s dehydration of the suspension becomes evident near the front as it retreats and leaves deposits on the aluminium surface.
The thickness evolution (figure 3b) reveals another level of complexity. During the early phase the propagating front is about three times thicker than the tail of the current. This bulged profile is roughly axisymmetric, as indicated in figure 2(b) and the associated video of the experiment (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/jfm.2012.545), which is typical of high Re gravity currents. During the late-time evolution the free surface is non-convex, which leads to radial flow in both directions and is inconsistent with the similarity solution of § 2. These characteristics are inconsistent with the underlying assumptions of the similarity solution developed in § 2, and resolving those may require different analysis or incorporating additional physics, as we discuss in § 4.

3.2. Constant-flux release
The experimental setup for a constant-flux release (figure 2c) consisted of a peristaltic pump that drove the viscous fluid from a container and through a cylindrical nozzle...
Figure 3. Experimental results of a constant-volume release of an aqueous suspension of Xanthan gum (1% in weight). (a) The leading edge of the current $r_N$ advances at a rate of $460 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$ within the first 0.35 s and then slows down abruptly to an average rate of $10^{-4} \text{ mm s}^{-1}$. (b) Evolution of the current thickness along a radius (time shifted by 0.25 s). During the rapid phase the head of the current is substantially thicker than the tail, which implies that the flow is dominated by inertia. The dotted line at $r = 32 \text{ mm}$ marks the inner radius of the cylinder, which was lifted from the flat surface at $t = -0.25 \text{ s}$. The $t = 0$ curve corresponds to the snapshot in figure 2(b).

onto a flat, transparent acrylic surface. The weight of the container as a function of time was recorded throughout the experiment and was used to compute the flux, which ranged between 3 and $10 \text{ g s}^{-1}$ for the different experiments. Uniform light was projected from beneath the flat surface and a camera mounted above that surface took plan-view images (figure 2d) at constant time intervals (1–3 s) and of 5 pixels mm$^{-1}$ resolution. By fitting a circle to each, we determined the evolution of the front radius $r_N$. We then computed a regression to the function $r_N = Ct^\nu$ (2.8), where $\nu = \left(\frac{2n + 2}{5n + 3}\right)$ and $C = \xi_N b$ were free parameters, including only the later part of the evolution that is consistent with the lubrication approximation. We estimate this by assuming that the total volume at each instant $Qt$ is distributed in a disc shape with radius $r_N$ and thickness $h = Qt/\pi r_N^2$, and then estimating the time when the lubrication approximation is satisfied by when the ratio $r_N/h = \pi r_N^3/Qt$ is larger than 10 (figure 4a). We find that $n \simeq 5.9 \pm 0.2$ and that $\tilde{\mu} = 11.4 \pm 0.25 \text{ Pa s}^{1/n}$, where the uncertainties are the standard deviations (figure 4b). The suspension with TiO$_2$ that was used in the constant-volume experiments has $n \simeq 5.0 \pm 0.3$ and similar $\tilde{\mu}$.

3.3. Strain-controlled rheometer

As an additional and independent evaluation of the material parameters, we performed a series of shear-viscosity measurements using a strain-controlled rheometer (ARES). The measurements were performed using parallel plates of radius $R = 50 \text{ mm}$ and several gap sizes $d$, where the bottom plate was heated by a water jacket to keep the temperature constant (20°C) and both plates were covered by a humidity cover to reduce evaporation. By specifying the rotation rate $\omega$, the dynamical viscosity was measured for a range of shear rates $\dot{\gamma} \equiv (1/2)\partial u/\partial z = (1/2)R\omega/d$ varying between...
Figure 4. (a) The position of the leading edge $r_N$ of a constant-flux ($Q_0 = 3 \text{ g s}^{-1}$) gravity current as a function of time ($\times$), and a regression to (2.8) with $\alpha = 1$ (solid line) using only the data with $r_N/h > 10$, which is on the right-hand side of the dotted line. (b) The normalized position $r_N$ as a function of time for a range of inlet fluxes $Q/Q_0$ ($\times$, $\diamond$, $\circ$) and the regression result (solid line). (c) The dynamic viscosity of the same suspension as a function of shear rate measured using a strain-controlled rheometer ($\times$) is consistent with power-law rheology with exponent $n \approx 5.4$ for low shear rates ($\dot{\gamma} \lesssim 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$, solid line) and with $n \approx 11.4$ for higher shear rates ($\dot{\gamma} \gtrsim 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$, dashed line), where the consistency index is approximately $10 \text{ Pa s}^{1/n}$.

We evaluate $n$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ by regression to (2.2) in the range of shear rates associated with the constant-flux experiments in § 3.2. By order of magnitude the shear rate in those experiments is

$$\dot{\gamma} \sim \frac{U}{H} \sim \frac{R}{TH},$$

where $U$ is the radial-velocity scale, $R \approx 10 \text{ cm}$ is the radial length scale, $H \approx 1 \text{ cm}$ is the thickness scale, and $T \sim 100$--$1000 \text{ s}$ is the time scale. This implies that our constant-flux gravity-current experiments were in the range $\dot{\gamma} \approx 10^{-2}$--$10^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Therefore we compute the regression for $\dot{\gamma} \lesssim 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and find that $n \approx 5.4$ and $\tilde{\mu} \approx 10.9$. For $\dot{\gamma} > 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ the parameters are $n \approx 11.4$ and $\tilde{\mu} \approx 9.4 \text{ Pa s}^{1/n}$.

4. Discussion

Evaluation of the experiments done in §§ 3.1 and 3.2 using the viscous theory presented in § 2 requires us to validate that the underlying assumptions of the theory are satisfied during some interval in the experiment. In particular $Re \ll 1$ has to be satisfied. We can estimate $Re$ for the viscous case based on the self-similar solutions
that were developed earlier. Specifically,

\[ \text{Re} = \frac{\rho UH^2}{MR} = \frac{\rho U^2}{\mu} \frac{1/n}{H} \frac{1/n}{R}, \]

(4.1)

where \( R, H \) are the radius and the thickness length scales, \( U \) is the velocity scale at the current surface and \( M \) is the viscosity scale given by (2.2). Using (2.4), (2.6) and (2.8) we find

\[ \text{Re}(t) = \frac{\rho}{\mu} \left[ \frac{2^{1-n}}{n+1} \left( \frac{\rho g'}{\mu} \right)^n \right]^2 - 1/n \left[ Q_0^{4n+1} b^{2(5n+1)} t^{(2n-2(5n+1)))/(5n+3)} \right], \]

(4.2)

where we have assumed \( \xi_N \sim \psi(\chi) \sim 1 \). In particular, for \( \alpha = 0, 1 \) we have

\[ \text{Re}(t, \alpha = 0) \sim (\mu^{-4n} Q_0^{3n+1} t^{-(10n+2)})^{1/(5n+3)}, \]

(4.3a)

\[ \text{Re}(t, \alpha = 1) \sim (\mu^{-4n} Q_1^{3n+1} t^{-(8n+2)})^{1/(5n+3)}, \]

(4.3b)

where \( Q_0 \) represents volume and \( Q_1 \) represents volume flux. These expressions show that \( \text{Re} \) declines much faster in the constant-volume case than in the constant-flux case. The asymptotic behaviour for highly shear-thinning material \((n \gg 1)\) is \( \text{Re} \sim Q_0^{3/5} t^{-2} \) for \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \text{Re} \sim Q_1^{3/5} t^{-1.6} \) for \( \alpha = 1 \), while for highly shear-thickening fluid \((n \ll 1)\) \( \text{Re} \sim Q_0^{1/3} t^{-2/3} \) independently of \( \alpha \).

Estimating \( \text{Re} \) for the constant-volume experiments performed in § 3.1 using \( n = 5 \) and \( Q_0 \simeq 500 \text{ cm}^3 \), we find that \( \text{Re} = 1 \) near \( t = 3 \text{ s} \) when all the volume has already been discharged, which implies that the early-time (slumping) phase is governed by inertia. This suggests that the late-time evolution of the constant-volume experiment may be consistent with the self-similar solutions in § 2. However, due to the inertia-dominated evolution at early times, the free surface of the gravity current is non-convex by the time \( \text{Re} \ll 1 \) (figure 3b), implying that the similarity solutions may only become useful at much later times, assuming no dehydration takes place.

In contrast, the constant-flux experiment with \( n = 5 \) and \( Q_1 \simeq 10 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1} \) becomes viscously dominated \((\text{Re} \lesssim 1)\) at time \( t \sim 1 \text{ s} \), when the volume discharged (about \( 10 \text{ cm}^3 \)) is a small fraction of the total volume used compared with the finite-volume experiment. This implies that the similarity solutions in § 2 should predict the evolution of the constant-flux experiment from very early times, and can be used to predict the material properties, as was done in § 3.2.

The difference between the results of our constant-flux experiments and the rheometer measurements may be partly accounted for by experimental error, yet it is conceivable that a major part of the error is due to a non-power-law rheology, in particular at low strain rates. One potential non-power-law effect is the presence of a yield stress. To evaluate how significant a yield-stress effect may be we estimate the Bingham number,

\[ B = \frac{\tau_0}{\mu U/H} = \frac{\tau_0 R}{\rho g' H^2}, \]

(4.4)

which is the ratio of the yield stress \( \tau_0 \) to the shear stress \( \sigma_{xz} = \mu \partial u/\partial z \), where the latter is evaluated using (2.1a). The yield stress for 1% aqueous suspension of Xanthan is estimated as \( \tau_0 \sim 10 \text{ dyn cm}^{-2} \) through a nonlinear regression to the Herschel–Bulkley model (Song, Kim & Chang 2006). Given that the density of the suspension is the same as that of water, then \( g' \sim g \) and therefore \( B \simeq 0.1 \), which implies that the yield stress, if present, is insignificant in our gravity-current
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experiments. This argument is also supported by numerical computations that power-law behaviour is hardly distinguishable from the yield-stress effect for a constant-flux source, and that the discrepancy between these two models diminishes with the increase of the exponent \( n \) (Balmforth et al. 2000).

The rheometer measurements may imply a yield-stress effect. However, this could be an artifact of the limited shear rates we measured, and may also appear due to insufficient sensitivity of strain-controlled rheometers at low shear rates (Balmforth et al. 2000). More sensitive measurements in the low-shear-rate regime performed with stress-controlled rheometers indicate that Xanthan suspensions of properties similar to those used here do become highly viscous, yet Newtonian at sufficiently low shear rates (Choppe et al. 2010). This implies that Xanthan rheology over a wide range of shear rates may be more consistent with Cross or Carreau–Yasuda models (Escudier et al. 2001), which suggests that a power-law approximation would be consistent only with a finite and narrow range of shear rates. This argument can explain why our gravity-current experiments, which were done in a narrow shear-rate range, are consistent with a power-law rheology (figure 4a), whereas the viscosity measured with the rheometer over a wider range of shear rates is better matched with a piecewise power-law relation with a declining exponent at smaller shear rates (figure 4b).

5. Conclusions

We analysed axisymmetric gravity currents of power-law fluids on a flat plane released in constant volume and constant flux, and compared theoretical predictions with laboratory experiments and rheometry measurements.

Our theoretical analysis focused on viscously dominated, self-similar solutions and is consistent with previous studies (Huppert 1982; Gratton et al. 1999; Balmforth et al. 2000). Laboratory experiments of constant-volume release of shear-thinning fluids demonstrated inertia-dominated evolution at early times with a non-convex free surface, and viscously dominated evolution at late times. Consequently, predicting the evolution of such flows using self-similar solutions of viscously dominated theory may be possible only at very late times. In addition, due to front propagation that is proportional to \( t^{1/(5n+3)} \), measurements of such flows using highly shear-thinning fluids (large \( n \)) may take a long time (e.g. \( r_N \propto t^{1/28} \) for \( n = 5 \)) compared with constant-flux release, require more sensitive instruments, and can involve the risk of changes in material properties owing to external forcing such as dehydration. In contrast, a constant-flux release is viscously dominated from very early times, with fronts that propagate in proportion to \( t^{(2n+2)/(5n+3)} \) (i.e. \( r_N \propto t^{3/7} \) for \( n = 5 \)), and can therefore be more useful for obtaining accurate measurements of a material rheology. Using this method to measure the rheological parameters of aqueous suspensions of Xanthan gum led to consistent results with rheometric measurements.

Measuring rheological properties of power-law fluids using a conventional rheometer requires numerous experiments of different shear rates. The viscous gravity current, following a power law in time, conveniently explores a range of shear rates in a single experiment. The particular geometry and fluid release mechanism of the setup that we propose is free of sidewalls and inertial effects, which are inherent to some industrial rheometers. This paper provides a protocol for a convenient method to determine the rheological properties of power-law fluids with sufficient accuracy to study various fluid-mechanical systems.
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