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ABSTRACT

This study investigates the two-dimensional finite amplitude motions of a fluid confined between two infinite horizontal planes, and heated and salted from below. By a combination of numerical simulation and perturbation theory, the possible forms of equilibrium motion are calculated for different values of the thermal and saline Rayleigh numbers, the Prandtl number and the ratio of the diffusivities of heat and salt. It is shown that equilibrium motions lie on either an oscillatory branch or a time-independent branch. On the oscillatory branch, the motion can be either periodic or non-periodic. In some parameter ranges, stable motions exist on both branches, which leads to a hysteresis effect. Non-periodic motions evolve into time-dependent states at a critical thermal Rayleigh number, and disordered motion is suppressed by increasing the thermal Rayleigh number beyond this critical value.

INTRODUCTION

Double-diffusive convection is a generic term identifying the form of motion which can occur in a fluid in which there are two components of different molecular diffusivities, which make opposing contributions to the vertical density gradient. Practical applications of this form of convection occur for a large number of different components, and many results in this field have been rediscovered by workers in different research disciplines. The original investigations were concerned with the components heat and salt, relevant to the oceans and to solar ponds, and have now been extended to include components relevant to the storage of liquid gas, the liquifying of metals, and the evolution of stars, to cite only a few examples. References to a variety of applications are contained in the review article by Turner (1). In addition to the many applications of double-diffusive convection, interest in the subject has developed as a result of the marked difference between this form of convection and convection involving only one component, as for example in purely thermal convection. In contrast to thermal convection, motions can arise even when the density decreases with height, that is, when the basic state is statically stable. This is due to the effects of diffusion, which is a stabilizing influence in thermal convection, but can act in a double-diffusive fluid in such a way as to release potential energy stored in one of the components, and convert it into the kinetic energy of the motion.

The physical mechanism underlying one of the fundamental forms of double-diffusive motion can be understood from the following parcel argument. Using the terminology of heat and salt, as we shall throughout this paper, consider a fluid whose temperature, salinity and density all decrease monotonically with
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height. If a fluid parcel is raised, it comes into a cooler, less salty and less dense environment. Because the rate of molecular diffusion of heat is larger than that of salt, the thermal field of the parcel tends to equilibrate with its surroundings more rapidly than does the salt field. The parcel is then heavier than its surroundings and sinks. But because of the finite value of the thermal diffusion coefficient, the temperature field of the parcel lags the displacement field, and the parcel returns to its original position heavier than it was at the outset. It then sinks to a depth greater than the original rise, whereupon the above process continues, leading to growing oscillations, or overstability, resisted only by the effects of viscosity. This linear mechanism was first explained by Stern (2). If the temperature gradient is sufficiently large compared to the salinity gradient, non-linear disturbances may exist which lead to monotonic motions, because the large temperature field is then able to overcome the restoring tendency of the salinity field. An evaluation of the conditions under which this monotonic form of motion can occur is one of the aims of the investigation reported in this paper.

The motion in the inverse situation - warmer, saltier fluid overlying relatively colder, fresher fluid - is independent of time and occurs as a result of fluid with downward motion transferring its heat to adjacent rising fluid, much in the manner of a heat exchanger. This form of motion, called salt-fingering because of the long narrow convection cells it produces, was first analysed by Stern (2), and some non-linear aspects have been considered by Straus (3).

THE THEORETICAL MODEL

The traditional geometry in which convective motions have been analysed quantitatively, confines the fluid between two infinite horizontal planes, heated, and in our case also salted, from below. In the purely thermal situation, many of the theoretically determined results have been experimentally verified and successfully used to explain various phenomena, as summarized by Spiegel (4). In the double-diffusive situation, Huppert and Manins (5) develop some theoretical results which predict with a high degree of accuracy the outcome of a series of experiments in which two uniform layers of different solute concentrations were initially separated by a paper-thin horizontal interface. For details, the reader is referred to the original paper. The relevant comment to be made here is that the theoretical model, which incorporates the seemingly constraining presence of horizontal planes, was successfully used in a situation uninfluenced by boundaries.

Turning now to an explicit statement of the model analysed in this paper, consider a fluid which occupies the space between two infinite horizontal planes separated by a distance \( D \). The upper plane is maintained at temperature \( T_0 \) and salinity \( S_0 \) and the lower plane at temperature \( T_0 + \Delta T \) and salinity \( S_0 + \Delta S \). Both planes will be considered stress-free and perfect conductors of heat and salt. We restrict attention to two-dimensional motion, dependent only on one horizontal co-ordinate and the vertical co-ordinate. Non-dimensionalising all lengths with respect to \( D \) and time with respect to \( D^2/\kappa T \), where \( \kappa T \) is the thermal
diffusivity, and expressing the velocity \( q^* \), in terms of a streamfunction \( \psi \) by

\[
q^* = (\kappa_T/D) (\psi_z - \psi_x)
\]  

(1)

the temperature, \( T^* \), by

\[
T^* = T_0 + \Delta T (1 - z + T)
\]  

(2)

and the salinity \( S^* \), by

\[
S^* = S_0 + \Delta S (1 - z + S)
\]  

(3)

we can write the governing Boussinesq equations of motion as

\[
\sigma^{-1} \nabla^2 \psi_t - \sigma^{-1} J(\psi, \nabla^2 \psi) = -R_T \psi_x + R_S \psi_S + \nabla^2 \psi
\]  

(4)

\[
T_t + \psi_x - J(\psi, T) = \nabla^2 T
\]  

(5)

\[
S_t + \psi_x - J(\psi, S) = \nabla^2 S
\]  

(6)

\[
\psi = \psi_{zz} = T = S = 0 \quad (z = 0, 1)
\]  

(7)

where the Jacobian, \( J \), is defined by

\[
J(f, g) = f_x g_z - f_z g_x
\]  

(8)

The appropriate vertical boundary condition to be applied to Eqs (4)-(6) is that the solution be periodic in \( x \) over a distance \( L \), for a prescribed \( L \). The linear equation of state

\[
\rho^* = \rho_0 (1 - \alpha T^* - \beta S^*)
\]  

(9)

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are taken to be constant has been assumed in the expressions for the body-force term of Eq. (4). Four nondimensional parameters appear in Eqs (4)-(6): the Prandtl number \( \sigma = \nu/\kappa_T \), where \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity; the ratio of the diffusivities \( \tau = \kappa_S/\kappa_T \), where \( \kappa_S \) is the saline diffusivity, which is less than \( \kappa_T \); the thermal Rayleigh number \( R_T = g \Delta T D^3/(\kappa_T \nu) \), where \( g \) is gravity; and the saline Rayleigh number \( R_S = g \Delta S D^2/(\kappa_S \nu) \). The first two parameters characterize the fluid, while the last two characterize externally applied parameters of the model. In this paper, both Rayleigh numbers are taken to be positive.

SOLUTIONS

The solutions of the linear problem, obtained by neglecting the quadratic Jacobians in Eqs (4)-(7) are well known; see for example Veronis (6) and Baines and Gill (7). The results of such a linear analysis are shown in Figs 1-3 for specific values of \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) and for \( L = 2 \), which is the value of \( L \) for which the various modes of convection first occur. According to linear theory, for fixed \( R_S \) greater than \( R_X \) of Figs 1-3, as \( R_T \) increases, the motion passes successively through regions of:

- conduction only \( (0 < R_T < R_1) \); oscillatory convection of increasing amplitude \( (R_1 < R_T < R_2) \); and monotonic convection of increasing amplitude \( (R_2 < R_T) \). Steady convection, that is,
convection of constant amplitude, can occur only if $R_T = R_1$ or $R_T = R_6$. For all practical values of the parameters, $R_5$ is greater than $R_X$, and only this case is considered here. The linear results, which act as a foundation for a non-linear investigation, are more fully discussed by Turner (6), in a chapter devoted to double-diffusive convection.

The most transparent form in which to express our results is by extending the description in the last paragraph to incorporate the important non-linear effects. In particular, we evaluate the possible non-linear modes for fixed $R_5$. Results presented here have been obtained in part from direct numerical solution of Eqs (4)-(7) and in part from consideration of the perturbation of Eqs (4)-(7) about the linear solutions. The former has been accomplished by approximating Eqs (4)-(7) by space- and time-centred second-order difference equations in $\psi, \nabla^2 \psi, T$ and $S$ over a rectangular staggered mesh on the domain $0 < x < L$, $0 < z < 1$. The equations incorporate the conditions

$\psi = \psi_{xx} = T_x = S_x = 0$ at $x = 0$ and $L$. From the equations, values of $\nabla^2 \psi$, $T$ and $S$ at the gridpoints are calculated at time $t + \delta t$ from given values at time $t$. The variable $\psi$ is then calculated from $\nabla^2 \psi$ by inverting the Laplacian, using an implicit finite-difference approximation to Poisson's equation. This process is repeated for as many time steps as required. The program is an extension of one used originally by Moore, Peckover and Weiss (9) and further details can be obtained from their paper.

A large number of numerical experiments over a range of values of $\sigma, \tau, R_T$ and $R_5$ have been conducted. From these, the possible forms of motion can be characterized in general terms. This is best accomplished by considering $\sigma, \tau$ and $R_X$ to be fixed and tracing existing equilibrium solutions in an $R_T$-amplitude plane. The amplitude of any solution is here specified by the horizontally averaged heat and salt transports, or their non-dimensional representations, the thermal and saline Nusselt numbers, evaluated at the lower boundary, $z = 0$. These are given by

$$N_T = 1 - \bar{T}_z (z = 0) \quad \text{and} \quad N_S = 1 - \bar{S}_z (z = 0)$$

(10)

where the overbar denotes a horizontal average.

Equilibrium non-linear solutions must emanate, or bifurcate, from the linear solutions at $R_T = R_1$ or at $R_T = R_6$, and are most easily explained by reference to Fig. 4, which presents the solutions for $\sigma = 1.0$ and $\tau = 10^{-2}$ in an $R_T - N_S$ plane.

The Oscillatory Branch

From $R_T = R_1$ there emanates a solution which is generally supercritical, that is, $N_T$ and $N_S$ increase with increasing $R_T$. Along this oscillatory branch the period of the oscillation increases monotonically because of the increasing influence of the temperature field. Expressed in terms of the typical fluid particle, the explanation is that during its oscillatory displacement, the particle experiences a restoring force which decreases as $R_T$ increases, and hence the period increases. Figure 5 presents a typical plot of $N_T$ and $N_S$ against time for one
value of \( \sigma \), \( \tau \), \( R_T \) and \( R_S \). The phase delay of \( N_S \) with respect to \( N_T \) is clearly seen. This delay occurs because the salt field diffuses more slowly than the temperature field. The slower diffusion of salt is also the reason why both the mean and the range of \( N_S \) are larger than those of \( N_T \).

As \( R_T \) increases, this form of motion continues until \( R_T \) reaches a specific value, \( R_2 \), say. At \( R_T = R_2 \) the motion changes in form. Either the motion becomes time-independent, a situation discussed below, or in the more general case, the motion develops a further structure as is indicated in the form of \( N_T \) or \( N_S \) as a function of time, as graphed in Fig. 6. In both \( N_T \) and \( N_S \) there are four extrema, two maxima and two minima, per period, where the period is defined in the usual sense as the time between two identical states. As seen in Fig. 6, the time between the smaller maximum and the preceeding larger maximum is greater than the time between the smaller maximum and the following larger maximum. This holds for both \( N_T \) and \( N_S \). As \( R_T \) increases above \( R_2 \), these times evolve continuously from the single period exhibited by \( N_T \) or \( N_S \) for \( R_T \) just below \( R_2 \). This form of motion is due to the increasing temperature difference attempting to induce monotonic motion. Fluid near one of the lower corners of the cell rises, sinks by a different route, rises by a smaller amount in an attempt to readjust the form of motion, sinks again, and the total form of motion is then repeated. Other fluid particles in the cell move accordingly. This form of motion occurs until \( R_T = R_3 \), say, at which value a transition either to a time-independent solution or, more generally, a disordered non-periodic form of motion occurs.

No motion with three, four or more maxima per cycle was found for the values of \( \sigma \), \( \tau \), \( R_T \) or \( R_S \) examined.

Non-periodic motion continues to exist at increasing \( R_T \) until for \( R_T = R_4 \), say, an equilibrium time-dependent solution can no longer be maintained and the only equilibrium solutions are time-independent. For some values of \( \sigma \), \( \tau \) and \( R_S \) this time-independent form occurs before the solution passes through the two-maxima-per-cycle form of motion or the non-periodic form.

For future use we denote by \( R_4 \)' the value of \( R_T \) at which the transition to an equilibrium time-independent solution occurs.

The Monotonic Branch

For all \( R_T > R_4 \)' monotonic motion ensues. Such a form of motion exists in a double-diffusive fluid because the temperature field can produce an almost isosaline core, with all salinity gradients confined to boundary layers, thinner than the thermal boundary layers by an amount \( \tau \). In these salinity boundary layers, the effect of the stabilizing salinity gradient on the temperature field is arrested because of the different diffusivities. For sufficiently high \( R_T \), the destabilizing temperature effects can thus overcome the restoring effects of the salinity. This steady form of motion is a very efficient way of transporting heat and salt and thus the equilibrium Nusselt numbers undergo a discontinuous increase as the solution changes from the oscillatory branch to the monotonic branch.
Gradually decreasing $R_T$ from some value greater than $R_4'$, the equilibrium monotonic motions retrace the states that would have been obtained on increasing $R_T$ from $R_4'$; thus there is a unique stable equilibrium solution for $R_T > R_4'$.

Decreasing $R_T$ below $R_4'$ an equilibrium monotonic solution continues to exist, with decreasing Nusselt numbers, until $R_T = R_5$, say. Further decrease of $R_T$ leads to a solution on the oscillatory branch already described, or, if $R_5 < R_1$, to conduction. There is thus a hysteresis between these two different modes of motion.

The non-linear monotonic branch emanates from the bifurcation point at $R_T = R_6$ and the behaviour of the solution about $R_T = R_6$ can be obtained by using standard perturbation procedures. Results obtained by this method indicate that $R_6$ is a subcritical bifurcation point, that is, $N_T$ and $N_S$ increase with decreasing $R_T$. The results also indicate that solutions on the branch are unstable to time-dependent two-dimensional disturbances until the branch passes through a minimum value of $R_T$, that is, until the branch passes through $R_T = R_5$. Thereafter, the branch continues, and is stable, with the amplitude of the motion increasing as $R_T$ increases.

The Interaction Between The Oscillatory And Monotonic Branches

As is evident from the Table, the oscillatory and monotonic branches take quite different relative positions depending upon the values of $\sigma$, $\tau$ and $R_S$. The influence of these parameters can be summarised as follows. The linear monotonic mode is independent of $\sigma$ because fluid particles undergoing monotonic linear motion conserve their momentum. Along the non-linear part of the monotonic branch the motion is only weakly dependent upon $\sigma$, just as in purely thermal convection (10,11). By contrast, the motion on the oscillatory branch is quite dependent upon the value of $\sigma$ because the magnitude of the phase delay between the temperature and displacement field, which drives the motion, is determined by $\sigma$. The relative influence of $\tau$ is almost exactly the opposite. The whole monotonic branch is strongly dependent on the magnitude of $\tau$ because its value indicates how slowly the salt field diffuses and hence how effectively the salt field can overcome the tendency of the temperature field to drive steady convection. However, along the oscillatory branch the value of $\tau$ determines the phase lag between the salinity and temperature field, a lag which has only a small influence on the motion. The value of $R_S$, which indicates the magnitude of the stabilising salt field, has a large influence on both branches.

The various different orientations of the two branches and the hysteresis loop that connects them are summarised in the Table. Of particular interest is the value of $R_5$, the minimum thermal Rayleigh number for which (non-linear) monotonic convection is possible. Upper and lower bounds to $R_5$ for various values of $\sigma$, $\tau$ and $R_S$ are presented in the Table and Figs 1-3.

Consider first Fig. 1, which presents the bounds to $R_5$ for $\sigma = 1, \tau = 10^{-3}$ and various values of $R_S$. For each of these
values, $R_5$ is greater than $R_1$ and only for the largest value of $R_S$ is $R_5$ less than $R_S$.

Decreasing $\tau$ to $10^{-1}$ without altering $\sigma$, we obtain the results plotted in Fig. 2. The four ranges for $R_5$ are, as expected, all less than those for $\tau = 10^{-1}$. For $R_S = 10^3$ and $R_S = 1.5 \times 10^3$, $R_T$ is less than $R_S$.

The ranges of $R_5$ for $\sigma = 10$ and $\tau = 10^{-1}$ are plotted in Fig. 3. For $R_S = 10^5$, $R_5$ is less than $R_1$, but due to the relatively large viscous dissipation at these small Rayleigh numbers $R_5 > R_S$. For $R_S = 10^4$ or $1.5 \times 10^4$, $R_5$ is less than both $R_1$ and $R_S$. Thus for these values of $\sigma$, $\tau$ and $R_S$, (non-linear) steady convection can occur when the fluid is statically stable and linear theory predicts the existence of only a conduction solution.

CONCLUSIONS

The major conclusions of the study reported in this paper are as follows. Non-linear equilibrium solutions of the double-diffusive Benard problem belong to one of two branches. One is an oscillatory branch, which emanates from the linear steady-state oscillatory solution. As $R_T$ is increased, the solutions along this branch alter in such a way that the associated Nusselt numbers change from one maximum per period (Fig. 5), to two maxima per period (Fig. 6), to a non-periodic state. The other branch is composed of monotonic solutions, which emanate sub-critically from the linear steady-state monotonic solution. Solutions on this branch are unstable until the branch passes through its minimum value of $R_T$, following which the solutions are stable - at least in two dimensions. Stable solutions on both branches can exist at the same values of $R_T$, $R_S$, $\sigma$ and $\tau$. This leads to a hysteresis effect if solutions obtained from increasing $R_T$ and then decreasing $R_T$ are followed. Depending upon the value of $\sigma$, $\tau$ and $R_S$, as $R_T$ increases, instability may first occur as an oscillatory mode or a non-linear monotonic mode. The existence of a non-periodic solution that evolves into a time-independent form above a critical value of $R_T$ indicates that by increasing $R_T$ disordered motion can be suppressed.
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NOMENCLATURE

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
$D$ & plate separation \\
$g$ & gravity \\
$L$ & horizontal size of convection cell \\
$N_T$ & thermal Nusselt number \\
$N_S$ & saline Nusselt number \\
$q^*$ & velocity vector \\
$R_T$ & thermal Rayleigh number \\
$R_S$ & saline Rayleigh number \\
$R_1 - R_6$ & critical thermal Rayleigh numbers \\
\end{tabular}
\end{center}
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Fig. 1 The stability boundaries of linear theory and the minimum $R_T$ for stationary monotonic convection. According to linear theory, on $R_T = R_1$ an oscillatory mode is initiated, on $R_T = R_C$ this mode becomes a purely growing exponential, and on $R_T = R_6$ there is a time-independent mode. The straight lines $R_T = R_1$ and $R_T = R_6$ meet at $R_S = R_X$. 
Fig. 2  As for Fig. 1 except that $\tau = 0.1$.

Fig. 3  As for Fig. 2 except that $\sigma = 10$. 
Fig. 4 The maximum value of $N_S$ as a function of $R_T$ for stable equilibrium convection. For $R_2 < R_T < R_3$, $N_S$ has two local maxima per period and both are shown. For $R_3 < R_T < R_4$ the motion is non-periodic.

$R_T = 3162.3 \quad R_S = 3162.3$

$\sigma = 1 \quad \tau = 0.1$

Fig. 5 $N_T$ and $N_S$ as functions of time for a typical case with $R_1 < R_T < R_2$. 
Fig. 6 \( N_T \) and \( N_S \) as functions of time for a typical case with \( R_2 < R_T < R_3 \).
The values of $P_1$, $P_5$, $P_7$, $P_9$, and $P_6$ for various $q_1$ and $R_6$ are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$R_6$</th>
<th>$P_5$</th>
<th>$P_7$</th>
<th>$P_9$</th>
<th>$P_6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>150,658</td>
<td>13.49, 13.700</td>
<td>14.961</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,658</td>
<td>9.330, 9.600</td>
<td>10.277</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90,658</td>
<td>3.700, 3.800</td>
<td>3.634</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,658</td>
<td>1.700, 1.800</td>
<td>1.831</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123,658</td>
<td>12.300, 12.700</td>
<td>9.046</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,658</td>
<td>8.800, 9.200</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80,658</td>
<td>6.990, 7.600</td>
<td>14.000</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63,658</td>
<td>19.400, 19.600</td>
<td>14.301</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48,658</td>
<td>15.600, 15.800</td>
<td>11.010</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32,658</td>
<td>11.000, 11.200</td>
<td>7.720</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106,658</td>
<td>4.100-4.200</td>
<td>4.220</td>
<td>3.162</td>
<td>3.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32,820</td>
<td>2.400-2.500</td>
<td>2.777</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Monotonic motion is possible; and at $R_6 = R_5$, linear theory predicts steady monotonic motion.

Stable time-dependent motion is possible; $R_6$ is the smallest value of $R_6$ for which

$R_6$ is the largest value of $R_6$ for which

$R_1 = R_5$, linear theory